arXiv:1001.3192v2 [math.RA] 9 Mar 2010

GRADINGS BY GROUPS ON MELIKYAN ALGEBRAS

JASON MCGRAW

Abstract. In this paper we describe all gradings by abelian groups without elements of order five on the Melikyan algebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic five.

1. Introduction

Let A be an algebra over a field F, G a group and $\operatorname{Aut} A$, $\operatorname{Aut} G$ the automorphism groups of A and G, respectively. The base field F will always be algebraically closed. The field will be of characteristic five when dealing with Melikyan algebras.

Definition 1. *A* grading Γ by a group G *on an algebra A, also called a G-*grading*, is a decomposition $\Gamma : A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ where each A_g is a subspace such that $[A_{g'}, A_{g''}] \subset A_{g'g''}$ for all g', $g'' \in G$. For each $g \in G$, we call the subspace A_g the* homogeneous space *of degree g. The set* $\operatorname{Supp}_\Gamma A = \{g \in G \,|\, A_g \neq 0\}$ *is called the* support *of the grading.*

For a grading by a group G on a *simple* Lie algebra L, it is well known that the subgroup generated by the support is abelian [6, Lemma 2.1]. If L is finite-dimensional and the support generates G we have that G is finitely generated.

Definition 2. *Two gradings* $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ *and* $A = \bigoplus_{h\in G} A'_h$ *of an algebra A are called* equivalent *if there exist $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} A$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut} G$ such that $\Psi(A_g) = A'_{\theta(g)}$ for all $g \in G$. If θ is the identity, we call the gradings* isomorphic.

Definition 3. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ be a grading by a group G on an algebra A and φ a group homomorphism of G onto H. The* coarsening of the G-grading induced by φ *is the H-grading defined by $A = \bigoplus_{h\in H} \overline{A}_h$ where*

$$\overline{A}_h = \bigoplus_{g\in G,\ \varphi(g)=h} A_g.$$

The task of finding all gradings on simple Lie algebras by finite groups in the case of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero is almost complete — see [10] and also [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11]. In the case of positive characteristic p, a description of gradings on the classical simple Lie algebras, with certain exceptions, has been obtained in [1], [3]. In the case of simple graded Cartan type Lie algebras, the gradings by $\mathbb{Z}$ have been described in [17]. It was shown in [15] that all gradings by groups without elements of order p on the graded simple Cartan type Lie algebras, up to isomorphism, fall into the category of what we call *standard* gradings (which are coarsenings of the standard $\mathbb{Z}^k$-gradings). In [15] the gradings by arbitrary groups on the Witt algebra $W(1;1)$ were described. The gradings on the restricted Witt and special algebras have been announced recently by Bahturin and Kochetov in [2]. This paper will deal with the gradings on the Melikyan algebras by arbitrary abelian groups with no elements of order five in the case where the base field F is assumed to be algebraically closed and $p = 5$. We use the notation of [17].

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let L be a Melikyan algebra over an algebraically closed field. Suppose L is graded by a group G, the support generates G and G has no elements of order 5. Then the grading is isomorphic to a standard G-grading.*

The correspondence between the gradings on an algebra by finite abelian groups of order coprime to the characteristic p of the field and finite abelian subgroups of the automorphisms of this algebra is well known. Using the theory of algebraic groups, this extends to infinite abelian groups. Namely, a grading on an algebra $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$ by a finitely generated abelian group without elements of order p gives rise to an embedding of the dual group $\widehat{G}$ into $\operatorname{Aut} L$ using the following action:

$$\chi * y = \chi(g)y, \quad \text{for all } y \in L_g, \quad g \in G, \quad \chi \in \widehat{G}.$$

We will denote this embedding by $\eta : \widehat{G} \to \operatorname{Aut} L$, so

$$\eta(\chi)(y) = \chi * y. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 1. *Let G, H be groups, A an algebra and $\phi : G \to H$ be a group homomorphism, $\Gamma : A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ be a G-grading and $\overline{\Gamma} : A = \bigoplus_{h\in H} \overline{A}_h$ be the H-grading defined by $\overline{A}_h = \bigoplus_{g\in G,\ h=\phi(g)} A_g$. Then $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\widehat{H}) \subset \eta_\Gamma(\widehat{G})$ where the homomorphisms $\eta_\Gamma : \widehat{G} \to \operatorname{Aut} A$ and $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}} : \widehat{H} \to \operatorname{Aut} A$ are defined by (1) with respect to the gradings Γ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ respectively.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \widehat{H}$. For $y \in A_g$ we have $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\chi)(y) = \chi(\phi(g))y$ since $A_g \subset \overline{A}_{\phi(g)}$. Let $\zeta : G \to F^\times$ be the map defined by $\zeta(g) = \chi(\phi(g))$ for all $g \in G$. Then

$$\zeta(g_1g_2) = \chi(\phi(g_1g_2)) = \chi(\phi(g_1)\phi(g_2)) = \chi(\phi(g_1))\chi(\phi(g_2)) = \zeta(g_1)\zeta(g_2)$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Hence $\zeta \in \widehat{G}$. Furthermore, for all $y \in A_g$ we have

$$\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\chi)(y) = \chi(\phi(g))y = \zeta(g)y = \eta_\Gamma(\zeta)(y).$$

Hence $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\chi) \in \eta_\Gamma(\widehat{G})$. □

Lemma 2. *Let G, H be abelian groups without elements of order p, A an algebra $\Gamma : A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ be a G-grading and $\overline{\Gamma} : A = \bigoplus_{h\in H} \overline{A}_h$ be an H-grading such that the groups are generated by their support respectively. If $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(H) \subset \eta_\Gamma(G)$ then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a coarsening of the G-grading where $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(H)$ and $\eta_\Gamma(G)$ are defined by (1).*

Proof. The eigenspaces of $\eta_\Gamma(G)$ and $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(H)$ are A_g and $\overline{A}_h$ respectively for all $g \in \operatorname{Supp}_\Gamma A$ and $h \in \operatorname{Supp}_{\overline{\Gamma}} A$. Since $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(H) \subset \eta_\Gamma(G)$ we have that for any $g \in \operatorname{Supp}_\Gamma A$ the eigenspace A_g of $\eta_\Gamma(G)$ is contained in some eigenspace $\overline{A}_h$ of $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(H)$ for some $h \in \operatorname{Supp}_{\overline{\Gamma}} A$ where h depends on g. Let $\phi : \operatorname{Supp}_\Gamma A \to \operatorname{Supp}_{\overline{\Gamma}} A$ be the map defined by $\phi(g) = h$ for $g \in \operatorname{Supp}_\Gamma A$ where $h \in \operatorname{Supp}_{\overline{\Gamma}} A$ and $A_g \subset \overline{A}_h$. The map ϕ extends to a homomorphism of G onto H since $A_gA_{g'} \subset A_{g\,g'}$ and $\overline{A}_h\overline{A}_{h'} \subset \overline{A}_{h\,h'}$ by the property of gradings and the groups are generated by their supports respectively. Then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a coarsening of Γ. □

If L is finite-dimensional, then $\operatorname{Aut} L$ is an algebraic group, and the image $\eta(\widehat{G})$ belongs to the class of algebraic groups called quasi-tori. Recall that a *quasi-torus* is an algebraic group that is abelian and consists of semisimple elements. Conversely, given a quasi-torus Q in $\operatorname{Aut} L$, we obtain the eigenspace decomposition of L with respect to Q, which is a grading by the group of characters of Q, $G = \mathfrak{X}(Q)$.

In this paper, L is a Melikyan algebra $M(2;\underline{n})$, where $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2)$ is a pair of positive integers — see the definitions in the next section. Unless it is stated otherwise, m is a positive integer and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ is an m-tuple of positive integers. We denote by a and b some m-tuples of non-negative integers and by i, j, k, l some integers.

2. Melikyan Algebras and Their Standard Gradings

In this section we introduce some basic definitions, closely following [17, Chapter 2]. We start by defining the commutative algebras $O(m;\underline{n})$ and the Witt algebras $W(m;\underline{n})$ which we will use to define the Melikyan algebras when $m = 2$.

Definition 4. *Let $O(m;\underline{n})$ be the commutative algebra*

$$O(m;\underline{n}) := \left\{ \sum_{0 \le a \le \tau(\underline{n})} \alpha(a) x^{(a)} \mid \alpha(a) \in F \right\}$$

over a field of characteristic p, where $\tau(\underline{n}) = (p^{n_1} - 1, \ldots, p^{n_m} - 1)$, with multiplication

$$x^{(a)} x^{(b)} = \binom{a+b}{a} x^{(a+b)},$$

where $\binom{a+b}{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \binom{a_i + b_i}{a_i}$.

For $1 \le i \le m$, let $\epsilon_i := (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0 \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is at the i-th position, and $x_i := x^{(\epsilon_i)}$.

There are standard derivations on $O(m;\underline{n})$ defined by $\partial_i(x^{(a)}) = x^{(a-\varepsilon_i)}$ for $1 \le i \le m$.

Definition 5. *Let $W(m;\underline{n})$ be the Lie algebra*

$$W(m;\underline{n}) := \left\{ \sum_{1 \le i \le m} f_i \partial_i \mid f_i \in O(m;\underline{n}) \right\}$$

with the commutator defined by

$$[f\partial_i, g\partial_j] = f(\partial_i g)\partial_j - g(\partial_j f)\partial_i, \quad f, g \in O(m;\underline{n}).$$

The Lie algebras $W(m;\underline{n})$ are called *Witt algebras*. $W(m;\underline{n})$ is a subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der} O(m;\underline{n})$, the Lie algebra of derivations of $O(m;\underline{n})$.

From now on the base field F is algebraically closed and its characteristic is 5. We set $\widetilde{W}(2;\underline{n}) = O(2;\underline{n})\widetilde{\partial}_1 + O(2;\underline{n})\widetilde{\partial}_2$. We define the map $\operatorname{div} : W(2;\underline{n}) \to O(2;\underline{n})$ by

$$\operatorname{div}(f_1\partial_1 + f_2\partial_2) := \partial_1(f_1) + \partial_2(f_2)$$

for all $f_1,\ f_2 \in O(2;\underline{n})$. Also set

$$f_1\widetilde{\partial_1 + f_2}\partial_2 := f_1\widetilde{\partial}_1 + f_2\widetilde{\partial}_2$$

for all $f_1,\ f_2 \in O(2;\underline{n})$.

Definition 6. *Let $M(2;\underline{n}) := O(2;\underline{n}) \oplus W(2;\underline{n}) \oplus \widetilde{W}(2;\underline{n})$ be the algebra whose multiplication is defined by the following equations. For all $D \in W(2;\underline{n})$, $E \in \widetilde{W}(2;\underline{n})$ and $f, f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in O(2;\underline{n})$ we set*

$$[D,\widetilde{E}] := \widetilde{[D,E]} + 2\,\mathrm{div}(D)\widetilde{E},$$

$$[D,f] := D(f) - 2\,\mathrm{div}(D)f,$$

$$[f,\widetilde{E}] := fE$$

$$[f_1,f_2] := 2(f_1\partial_1(f_2) - f_2\partial_1(f_1))\widetilde{\partial}_2 + 2(f_2\partial_2(f_1) - f_1\partial_2(f_2))\widetilde{\partial}_1.$$

$$[f_1\widetilde{\partial}_1 + f_2\widetilde{\partial}_2, g_1\widetilde{\partial}_1 + g_2\widetilde{\partial}_2] := f_1g_2 - f_2g_1.$$

We call $M(2;\underline{n})$ the Melikyan algebra.

The algebras $O(m;\underline{n})$, $W(m;\underline{n})$, $M(2;\underline{n})$ defined above have well known canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings.

Definition 7. *Let $A = O(m;\underline{n})$, $W(m;\underline{n})$ or $M(2;\underline{n})$. The* canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of *A,*

$$A = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{y \in A \mid \deg_A(y) = i\},$$

is defined by declaring their degrees, $\deg_O$, $\deg_W$ and $\deg_M$, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\deg_O(x^{(a)}) & := & a_1 + \cdots + a_m, \\
\deg_W(x^{(a)}\partial_i) & := & a_1 + \cdots + a_m - 1, \\
\deg_M(x^{(a)}\partial_i) & := & 3\deg_W(x^{(a)}\partial_i), \\
\deg_M(x^{(a)}\widetilde{\partial}_i) & := & 3\deg_W(x^{(a)}\partial_i) + 2, \\
\deg_M(x^{(a)}) & := & 3\deg_O(x^{(a)}) - 2,
\end{array}$$

for $0 \le a \le \tau(\underline{n})$. The canonical filtration of *A, is defined by declaring $A_{(i)} = \bigoplus_{j\ge i} A_i$.*

Note that $W(2;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_{3i}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $\overline{\Gamma}_M : M(2;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{(a,a_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} M_{(a_1,a_2)}$ where*

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
M_{3(a_1,a_2)} & := & \mathrm{Span}\{x^{(a+\varepsilon_i)}\partial_i \mid 1 \le i \le 2\} \\
M_{(3a_1,3a_2)+\underline{1}} & := & \mathrm{Span}\{x^{(a+\varepsilon_i)}\widetilde{\partial}_i \mid 1 \le i \le 2\} \\
M_{(3a_1,3a_2)-\underline{1}} & := & \mathrm{Span}\{x^{(a)}\}.
\end{array}$$

The decomposition above is $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading on $M(2;\underline{n})$. □

Remark 1. *The support of the* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading* $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ *does not generate* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*. The support generates the subgroup* $G = \langle (3i+j, j) \,|\, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ *which is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*. Hence we can define a* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading for which the support generates* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*. Let* $\phi_M : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ *defined by* $\phi_M((1,0)) = (3,0)$ *and* $\phi_M((0,1)) = (1,1)$*. If we set* $L_a = M_{\phi_M(a)}$ *for* $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ *then* $\Gamma_M : M(2;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_a$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading since* $\phi_M(\mathbb{Z}^2) = G$*. Also since* $L_{(-1,0)} = M_{(-3,0)} = \mathrm{Span}\{\partial_1\}$ *and* $L_{(0,-1)} = M_{(-1,-1)} = F$ *we have that the support of the* Γ_M *grading generates* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*.*

Note that the grading in Lemma 3 is a coarsening of the Γ_M grading. By Lemma 1 we have that $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}) \subset \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. We will mainly work with the grading $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ and get results for Γ_M. We will show that $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\, M(2;\underline{n})$ which implies that $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}) = \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$.

Definition 8. *We call the* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading* Γ_M *in Remark 1 the* standard $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading on $M(2;\underline{n})$*. Let* $\deg_{\Gamma_M}(y)$ *and* $\deg(y)$ *be the degrees of* y *with respect to the* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-gradings* Γ_M *and* $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ *respectively.*

Remark 2. *The canonical* $\mathbb{Z}$*-grading is a coarsening of the* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading* $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ *from Lemma 3 and hence a coarsening of the standard* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-grading* Γ_M*. Explicitly,*

$$M_i = \bigoplus_{a_1+a_2=i} M_{(a_1,a_2)}.$$

Definition 9. *Let* G *be an abelian group and* $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to G$ *a homomorphism. The decomposition* $M(2;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{g\in G} M_g$*, given by*

$$M_g = \mathrm{Span}\{y \in M(2;\underline{n}) \,|\, \varphi(\deg_{\Gamma_M}(y)) = g\},$$

is a G*-grading on* $M(2;\underline{n})$*. We call such decomposition a* standard G-grading induced by φ *on* $M(2;\underline{n})$*. We will refer to a standard* G*-grading induced by* φ *as a* standard G-grading *when* φ *is not specified.*

The grading $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ on $M(2;\underline{n})$ gives rise to a quasi-torus $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. We will show later that $\eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$ is actually a maximal torus. Let $\underline{t}^a := t_1^{a_1}t_2^{a_2}$ for all $\underline{t} = (t_1, t_2) \in (F^\times)^2$ and $\alpha(\underline{t}) := t_1t_2$. We define $\lambda : (F^\times)^2 \to \mathrm{Aut}\, M(2;\underline{n})$ where

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \lambda(\underline{t})x^{(a)}\partial_i & := & \underline{t}^{3a-3\varepsilon_i}x^{(a)}\partial_i \\ \lambda(\underline{t})x^{(a)}\widetilde{\partial}_i & := & \underline{t}^{3a-3\varepsilon_i}\alpha(\underline{t})x^{(a)}\widetilde{\partial}_i \\ \lambda(\underline{t})x^{(a)} & := & \underline{t}^{3a}\alpha(\underline{t})^{-1}x^{(a)}. \end{array}$$

For any element y in $M_{(a_1,a_2)}$ of the grading $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ we have $\lambda(\underline{t})(y) = \underline{t}^a y$ which is the same as saying $\lambda(\underline{t})(y) = \underline{t}^{\deg(y)}y$.

Lemma 4. λ *is a homomorphism of algebraic groups.*

Proof. We start by showing that for $\underline{t} \in (F^\times)^2$ we have $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathrm{Aut}\, M(2;\underline{n})$. Lemma 3 gives us that $\deg([y,z]) = \deg(y) + \deg(z)$ when y, z are homogeneous elements. For homogeneous y, z we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda(\underline{t})([y,z]) & = & \underline{t}^{\deg([y,z])}[y,z] = \underline{t}^{\deg(y)+\deg(z)}[y,z] = \underline{t}^{\deg(y)}\underline{t}^{\deg(z)}[y,z] \\ & = & [\lambda(\underline{t})(y), \lambda(\underline{t})(z)]. \end{array}$$

Hence $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathrm{Aut}\, M(2;\underline{n})$.

Now we show that λ is a homomorphism. Let $\underline{s}$, $\underline{t} \in (F^\times)^2$ and y be a homogeneous element. Then

$$\lambda(\underline{s}\,\underline{t})y = (\underline{s}\,\underline{t})^{\deg(y)}y = \underline{s}^{\deg(y)}\underline{t}^{\deg(y)}y = \underline{s}^{\deg(y)}\lambda(\underline{t})(y) = \lambda(\underline{s})(\lambda(\underline{t})(y))$$

which shows that λ is a homomorphism.

It is obvious that λ is a rational map and it is a homomorphism. □

Let $T_M := \lambda((F^\times)^2)$. The kernel of λ is $\{(t_1, t_2) \in (F^\times)^2 \,|\, t_1^3 = t_2^3 = 1,\ t_1t_2 = 1\}$. Since the kernel is finite and λ is a regular homomorphism we have that T_M is a torus.

Lemma 5. *The torus T_M is $\eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$.*

Proof. First we show that $\eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}) \subset T_M$. Let $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ and $\chi((1,0)) = t_1 \in F^\times$ and $\chi((0,1)) = t_2 \in F^\times$. For $y \in M_{(a_1,a_2)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\chi)(y) &= \chi((a_1,a_2))y = \chi((a_1,0))\chi((0,a_2))y \\ &= \chi((1,0))^{a_1}\chi((0,1))^{a_2}y = (t_1,t_2)^{\deg(y)}y = \lambda((t_1,t_2))(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\eta_{\Gamma_M}(\chi) \in T_M$ and we have $\eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}) \subset T_M$.

Now we show that $T_M \subset \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. For $\underline{t} = (t_1, t_2) \in (F^\times)^2$ let $\chi_{\underline{t}} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to F^\times$ be the element of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ defined by $\chi_{\underline{t}}(a) = \underline{t}^a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For $y \in M_a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we have

$$\lambda(\underline{t})(y) = \underline{t}^a y = \chi_{\underline{t}}(a)y = \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\chi_{\underline{t}})(y).$$

Hence $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$ and we have $T_M \subset \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. □

The following proposition shows that if we want to know more about the quasi-torus $\eta(\widehat{G})$ up to conjugation by an automorphism of $M(2;\underline{n})$ then we should look at the normalizer of a maximal torus in $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$. This follows from [16, Corollary 3.28].

Proposition 1. *A quasi-torus of an algebraic group belongs to the normalizer of a maximal torus.* □

In Section 3 we will show that T_M is a maximal torus of $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$. This leads us to look at the normalizer of the restriction of T_M on $W(2;\underline{n})$ in $\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$. Using that the automorphisms of $W(2;\underline{n})$ can extend to $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ (– see [12]) we can then extend the information of the normalizer in $\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$ to get the normalizer of T_M in $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$.

The goal of Section 3 is to show that if G has no elements of order five then $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is always contained in a maximal torus.

3. The automorphism groups of Melikyan algebras

The automorphism group of $M(2;\underline{n})$ respects the canonical filtration on $M(2;\underline{n})$ (– see proof of [13, Theorem 4.7]). Also [12] says that any automorphism of $W(2;\underline{n})$ can be extended to an automorphism of $M(2;\underline{n})$.

We start by looking at a maximal torus of $\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$. Let

$$T_W := \{\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n}) \mid \psi(x^{(a)}\partial_k) = t_1^{a_1}t_2^{a_2}t_k^{-1}x^{(a)}\partial_k,\ t_j \in F^\times\}.$$

According to [17, p. 371], T_W is indeed a maximal torus of $W(2;\underline{n})$.

Let $\operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n}) = \{\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n}) \,|\, \Psi(W(2;\underline{n})) = W(2;\underline{n})\}$ and $\pi : \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n}) \to \operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$ is the respective restriction map on

$\operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$. Since $T_M = \eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading $\overline{\Gamma}_M$ on $M(2;\underline{n})$ and $W(2;\underline{n})$ is a graded subspace of this grading we have
$T_M \subset \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$.

Lemma 6. *The restriction of* T_M *to* $W(2;\underline{n})$ *is* T_W*.*

Proof. We start by showing $T_W \subset \pi(T_M)$. For any $\psi \in T_W$ we have a pair $(s_1, s_2) \in (F^\times)^2$ such that $\psi(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = s_1^{a_1} s_2^{a_2} s_i^{-1} x^{(a)}\partial_i$. For any element u of $F^\times$ there is at least one element v such that $v^3 = u$ because F is algebraically closed. Hence there exist t_1 and t_2 in $F^\times$ such that $t_1^3 = s_1$ and $t_2^3 = s_2$. Computing $\lambda(\underline{t})$ on $x^{(a)}\partial_i$ we get

$$\lambda(\underline{t})(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = t_1^{3a_1} t_2^{3a_2} t_i^{-3} x^{(a)}\partial_i = s_1^{a_1} s_2^{a_2} s_i^{-1} x^{(a)}\partial_i.$$

This shows that $\psi = \pi(\lambda((t_1, t_2))) \in \pi(T_M)$ and we have $T_W \subset \pi(T_M)$.

The inclusion $\pi(T_M) \subset T_W$ is obvious. □

The kernel of π on T_M is $\{\lambda(\underline{t}) \in T_M \mid t_1^3 = t_2^3 = 1\}$.

Lemma 7. [12, Lemma 5] *If* $\Theta \in \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$ *is such that* $\pi(\Theta) = \mathrm{Id}_W$ *then for* $y \in M_i$*,* $i \in \mathbb{Z}$*, there exists a* β *such that* $\Theta(y) = \beta^i y$ *where* $\beta^3 = 1$*.* □

We now fix β to be a primitive third root of unity and set $\Theta := \lambda(\beta^2, \beta^2)$. Note that $\Theta \in T_M$.

Corollary 1. *Let* Ψ *and* Φ *be elements of* $\operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$*. If* $\pi(\Psi) = \pi(\Phi)$ *then there exists an* l *such that* $0 \leq l \leq 2$ *and* $\Psi = \Phi\Theta^l$*.*

Proof. If $\pi(\Psi) = \pi(\Phi)$ then $\pi(\Phi^{-1}\Psi) = \mathrm{Id}_W$. By Lemma 7 we have $\Phi^{-1}\Psi = \Theta^l$ for some $0 \leq l \leq 2$. □

Corollary 2. *If* $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$ *is such that* $\pi(\Psi) \in T_W$ *then* $\Psi \in T_M$*.*

Proof. Lemma 6 shows that there exists $\Phi \in T_M$ such that $\pi(\Psi) = \pi(\Phi)$ and Corollary 1 says that $\Psi = \Phi\Theta^l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq 2$. Hence $\Psi \in T_M$. □

In order to describe the normalizers in $\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$ and $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ we introduce the automorphism υ of $O(2;\underline{n})$ that induces an automorphism σ of $W(2;\underline{n})$ and finally we extend σ to $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$. For $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2)$ we define $\overline{a} := (a_2, a_1)$ for $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $n_1 = n_2$. The linear maps υ and σ of $O(2;\underline{n})$ and $W(2;\underline{n})$ respectively, defined by $\upsilon(x^{(a)}) := x^{\overline{a}}$ and $\sigma(D) := \upsilon D \upsilon^{-1}$ for $x^{(a)} \in O(2;\underline{n})$, and all $D \in W(2;\underline{n})$.

Lemma 8. *For* $n_1 = n_2$*, the maps* υ *and* σ *are automorphisms of* $O(2;\underline{n})$ *and* $W(2;\underline{n})$ *respectively.*

Proof. It follows easily from [17, Theorem 6.3.2] that υ is a continuous automorphisms of $O(2;\underline{n})$ (which are in $\operatorname{Aut} O(2;\underline{n})$ as the name implies). It follows from [17, Theorem 7.3.2] that conjugating an element D of $W(2;\underline{n})$ by a continuous automorphism ψ of $O(2;\underline{n})$, $D \mapsto \psi \circ D \circ \psi^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $W(2;\underline{n})$ and hence $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$. □

Lemma 9. [15] *The normalizer of* T_W *in* $\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$ *is* T_W *if* $n_1 \neq n_2$ *and* $T_W\langle\sigma\rangle_2$ *if* $n_1 = n_2$*.*

The following follows from the first paragraph on p.3920 of [12].

Proposition 2. *For every automorphism ψ of $W(2;\underline{n})$ there exists a ψ_M of $M(2;\underline{n})$ which respects $W(2;\underline{n})$ and whose restriction to $W(2;\underline{n})$ is ψ.* □

By Proposition 2 there exists a $\sigma_M \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ which respects $W(2;\underline{n})$ and whose restriction is σ. We fix this σ_M.

Now we can prove that T_M is a maximal torus in $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$. Let $U_M = \langle \sigma_M \rangle$ when $n_1 = n_2$ and identity otherwise.

Proposition 3. *The normalizer of T_M in* $\operatorname{Aut} M$ *is $T_M U_M$.*

Proof. In [12, p. 3921] it is stated that we can decompose $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} M$ as the product of $\Psi = \Phi\Omega$ where Φ, $\Omega \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ are such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\Phi(y) \in y + M_{(i+1)}, \quad \text{for } y \in M_i,$$
$$\Omega(M_i) = M_i.$$

Let $\Psi \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$. Then we will show that $\Phi = \mathrm{Id}_M$.

Let $y \in M_i$ be a nonzero eigenvector of T_M. Since $\Psi \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$ we have $\Psi(y)$ is an eigenvector. The eigenspaces of T_M are the $M_{(a_1,a_2)}$, where $(a_1,a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Remark 2 gives us that $\Psi(y) \in M_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we use the decomposition of $\Psi = \Phi\Omega$. We have $\Omega(y) = w \in M_i$ since $\Omega(M_l) = M_l$ for all l.

$$\Psi(y) = \Phi\Omega(y) = \Phi(w) \in w + M_{(i+1)}. \tag{2}$$

Since $w \neq 0$ the calculations above show that $\Psi(y) \in M_{(i)}$ and $\Psi(y) \notin M_{(i+1)}$.

The intersection of M_k and $M_{(i)} = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} M_j$ is zero if $k < i$. Since $\Psi(y) \in M_{(i)}$ by (2) and $0 \neq \Psi(y) \in M_k$ we have $k \geq i$. Since $\Psi(y) \notin M_{(i+1)}$ by (2) and $\psi(y) \in M_k$ we have $k \leq i$. Hence $k = i$. We have shown that $\Psi(M_i) = M_i$ for all i. Hence $\Phi = \mathrm{Id}_M$. Since $W(2;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{3i}$ we have $\Psi(W(2;\underline{n})) = W(2;\underline{n})$. We conclude that if $\Psi \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$ then Ψ preserves the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $M(2;\underline{n})$ and that $\pi(\Psi) \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})}(T_W)$ since $\pi(T_M) = T_W$ (Lemma 6).

According to Lemma 9, $N_{\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})}(T_W) = T_W$ when $n_1 \neq n_2$ and $T_W\langle\sigma\rangle$ if $n_1 = n_2$. By Corollary 2, the set of automorphisms of $M(2;\underline{n})$ which when restricted to $W(2;\underline{n})$ are in T_W is T_M. For $n_1 = n_2$, Corollary 1 says that if $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$ and $\pi(\Psi) = \rho\sigma$, where $\rho \in T_W$ then there exists a $\Xi \in T_M$ such that $\pi(\Xi) = \rho$ and $\Psi = \Xi\sigma_M\Theta^l$ for $0 \leq l \leq 2$. The automorphism Θ is in T_M since $\Theta = \lambda(\beta^2,\beta^2)$. Hence, $N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M) \subset T_M U_M$.

Conversely let $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$ such that $\pi(\Psi) \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})}(T_W)$. Then

$$\pi(\Psi\lambda(\underline{t})\Psi^{-1}) = \pi(\Psi)\pi(\lambda(\underline{t}))\pi(\Psi)^{-1} \in T_W.$$

By Corollary 2, we have that $\Psi\lambda(\underline{t})\Psi^{-1} \in T_M$ and hence it follows that $\Psi \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$. Since for $n_1 = n_2$ we have $\pi(\sigma_m) = \sigma \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})}(T_W)$ and it follows that $\sigma_M \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$. We have shown that

$$T_M U_M \subset N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M).$$

□

Corollary 3. *The centralizer of T_M in* $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ *is T_M. Moreover, T_M is a maximal torus and $T_M = \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$.*

Proof. The centralizer of T_M is contained in the normalizer of T_M. By Proposition 3, the normalizer of T_M is in $\operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$. This implies that if $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_W M(2;\underline{n})$ and Ψ is in the centralizer of T_M then $\pi(\Psi)$ must be in the centralizer of $\pi(T_M) = T_W$ (Lemma 6). Since T_W is a maximal torus and (for $n_1 = n_2$) $\sigma \notin T_W$ we have that σ is not in the centralizer of T_W. Hence σ_M is not in the centralizer of T_M. We have shown that the centralizer of T_M in $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ is T_M and that T_M is a maximal torus.

By Lemma 1 we have $T_M = \eta_{\overline{\Gamma}_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2}) \subset \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. Since $\eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$ is abelian and contains T_M it must be in the centralizer of T_M which is T_M. $\square$

Proposition 4. *Let Q be a quasi-torus in $\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$. There is an automorphism $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ such that $\Psi Q \Psi^{-1} \subset T_M$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, Q is inside the normalizer of a maximal torus. Up to conjugation we can assume $Q \subset N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M)$. Then Q must preserve $W(2;\underline{n})$ since $N_{\operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})}(T_M) = T_M U_M$ (Proposition 3). Let $Q' = \pi(Q)$. It follows that $Q' \subset N_{\operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})}(T_W)$. In [15] it is shown that there exists a $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(2;\underline{n})$ such that $\psi Q' \psi^{-1} \subset T_W$. Proposition 2 says that there is $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ such that $\pi(\Psi) = \psi$. Hence $\pi(\Psi Q \Psi^{-1}) = \psi Q' \psi^{-1} \subset T_W$. Since $\pi(\Psi Q \Psi^{-1}) \subset T_W$, Corollary 2 give us that $\Psi Q \Psi^{-1} \subset T_M$. $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $L = M(2;\underline{n})$. Suppose $\Gamma : L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$ is a G-grading where G is a group without elements of order five. Without loss of generality, we assume that the support of the grading generates G. Let $\eta_\Gamma : \widehat{G} \to \operatorname{Aut} L$ be the corresponding embedding and $Q = \eta_\Gamma(\widehat{G})$. Then by Proposition 4, there is a $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} M(2;\underline{n})$ such that $\Psi Q \Psi^{-1} \subset T_M$. Recall that by Corollary 3 that $T_M = \eta_{\Gamma_M}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^2})$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L'_g$, where $L'_g = \Psi(L_g)$, is a coarsening of the standard $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading Γ_M which is isomorphic to the original grading. $\square$

References

[1] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M., *Group Gradings on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{psl}_n$ in positive characteristic.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 9, 1739–1749.

[2] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov M., *Group Gradings on Restricted Cartan Type Lie Algebras.* arXiv:math/1001.0191 (2009)

[3] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M.; Montgomery, S. *Group Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras in Positive Characteristic.* Proc. AMS **137** (2009) no.4, 1245-1254.

[4] Bahturin, Y.; Shestakov, I. P.; Zaicev, M. V. *Gradings on simple Jordan and Lie algebras.* J. Algebra **283** (2005), no. 2, 849–868.

[5] Bahturin, Y.; Tvalavadze, M. *Group gradings on G_2.* Comm. Algebra 37 (2009), no. 3, 885–893

[6] Bahturin, Y; Zaicev, M. *Group gradings on simple Lie algebras of type "A".* J. Lie Theory **16** (2006), no. 4, 719–742.

[7] Draper, C.; Martín, C. *Gradings on $\mathfrak{g}_2$.* Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 1, 85–111.

[8] Draper, C.; Martín, C. *Gradings on the Albert algebra and on $\mathfrak{f}_4$.* To appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana.

[9] Draper, C.; Viruel, A. *Group gradings on* $\mathrm{o}(8,\mathbb{C})$. Rep. Math. Phys. **61** (2008), no. 2, 265–280.

[10] Elduque, A. *Fine gradings on simple classical Lie algebras.* arXiv:0906.0655 (2009).

[11] Halvíček, M.; Patera, J. and Pelantová, E. *On Lie gradings II.* Linear Algebra App. **277** (1998), 97-125.

[12] Kuznetsov, Michael I.; Mulyar, Olga A. *Automorphisms of exceptional simple Lie algebras.* Special issue dedicated to Alexei Ivanovich Kostrikin. Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 9, 3919–3934.

[13] Kuznetsov, M. I.*The Melikyan algebras as Lie algebras of the type G_2.* Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), no. 4, 1281–1312

[14] McGraw, J. *Gradings by Finite Groups of the Witt Algebra.* to appear in Communications in Algebra.

[15] McGraw, J. *Gradings by Groups on the Cartan type Lie Algebras.* arXiv:1002.4893v1 (2010).

[16] Platonov, V. P. *The Theory of Algebraic Linear Groups and Periodic Groups.* Trans Amer. Math. Soc. Series 2 **69** (1968), 61–159.

[17] Strade, H. *Simple Lie algebras over Fields of Positive Characteristic, I Structure Theory.* De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 38. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2004. viii+540 pp.